

Evaluation of Soil Biological Indicators Under Conventional and Conservation Tillage in Rice-Wheat Cropping System

Rana Javaid Iqbal^{*1}, Azhar Mahmood², Ayesha Akram³, Sajida Azhar⁴, Abdul Ghaffar Khan¹, Muhammad Shakir¹, Imran Hussain¹, Muhammad Khalid Shaheen¹

¹Soil Fertility Research Institute, Lahore-54000, Punjab, Pakistan.

²Soil And Water Testing Laboratory Jhang-35300, Punjab, Pakistan.

³University Of Agriculture Faisalabad-38000, Punjab, Pakistan.

⁴Pesticide Quality Control Laboratory, AARI, Faisalabad-38000, Punjab, Pakistan

Correspondence Author*: ranajavaidqbal1965@gmail.com

Abstract

The objective of this review paper is to provide a detailed information that how different tillage practices affect soil biological indicators under a rice-wheat cropping system. The tillage practices here we explain are conservation and conventional tillage. The important soil biological indicators that are used to assess soil quality are earthworms, particulate organic matter, potentially mineralized nitrogen, soil enzymes and soil respiration. All of these have a direct relation with soil microbial communities. For understanding the effect of tillage practices on soil biological indicators we studied the effect of tillage practices on soil microbial communities. The tillage practices affect the activity and community structure of soil microorganisms by changing the habitat characteristics for soil microorganism soil porosity, soil moisture and the substrates for soil microorganisms. The microbial communities involve soil bacterial communities, pathogenic fungal colonies, non-pathogenic fungal colonies and nematodes. Under zero tillage soil micro-arthropods and earthworms densities (number m⁻²) were higher than conventional tillage. Soil bacterial community, fungal colonies and nematodes were higher in zero tillage. Conservation tillage improves both physicochemical and biological properties of soil. Hence conservation tillage effect biological indicators positively in a rice-wheat system.

Tob Regul Sci. TM 2022;8(1): 1965-1975

DOI: doi.org/10.18001/TRS.8.1.150

1-Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa*) as a muesli grain is the mainly broadly consumed primary provisions for a bulky position of the world's population, particularly in Asia and Africa. It is the agricultural commodity with the third top worldwide construction (rice, 741.5 million tonnes in 2014), after

sugarcane and maize [1]. Pakistan has a foremost job as rice exporter in the humankind and annually exports about 2 million tons, which is 10 percent of the world's trade. In Basmati rice, about 25 percent exports is Pakistan's eminent fragrant. Rice export is second earning obtain of Pakistan. Rice grains accomplish about 60 percent of the populace of Pakistan chow needs, every one of through the globe period rice is a probable mine of chow for animals during frost term [2][3].

Rice is the mainly main grain with respect to being food and caloric intake, on condition that further than one-fifth of the calories consumed worldwide by humans [9]. Rice is the clip cooking of over partially the world's population. It is the leading nutritional energy mine for 17 countries in Asia and the Pacific, 9 countries in North and South America and 8 countries in Africa. Rice provides 20% of the world's nutritional energy supply, at the same time as wheat food 19% and maize (corn) 5% [8]. Rice, a monocot, is generally full-grown as a twelve-month plant, even though in steamy areas it container live through as a continuing and bottle produce a ratoon crop for up to 30 living [4]. Rice tin can be adult in not the same environments, depending upon stream availability [5]. Generally, rice does not flourish in a drenched area, thus far it be capable of continue to exist and nurture at this time in [6] and it be able to carry on flooding [7].

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is a pasture generally refined for its seed, a muesli grain which is a worldwid rations [10][11][2]. The numerous species of wheat at once create up the group *Triticum*; the mainly far and wide full-grown is everyday wheat. Wheat is grown-up on additional nation theme than any other fodder crop (220.4 million hectares, 2014 [13] humanity trade in wheat is larger than for completely other crops pooled [14]. In 2017, planet fabrication of wheat was 772 million tonnes, with a forecast of 2019 assembly at 766 million tonnes, [15] manufacture it the second as a rule bent muesli after maize [15][16]. Since 1960, planet construction of wheat and other grain crops has tripled and is probable to become adult advance through the internal of the 21st century [17].

In wheat production, Punjab and Sindh provinces which are Pakistan's irrigated provinces declare chronological focus for grassy revolution in wheat. During the stop of 1960s, new Revolution in Pakistan plus complex broadcast investment in irrigation canals and sell development. The rural the upper classes and wheat making were transformed; the anticipation of hunger retreated [22]. Wheat is a crucial breakfast cereal crop for loads of countries everywhere it is existence consumed as a chief food. It is admitted detail that naught is supplementary critical than the human being being's needs. Sustainability and reliability in cooking fabrication is a principal for sustainable crop production. For wheat production, availability of irrigation and energy is of splendid meaning and will carry on to be an principal foundation in farming that container guarantee sustainability and reliability in cuisine making (GOP, 2008-09).

Wheat is of great magnitude find of carbohydrates [18]. Globally, it is the important track down of vegetable protein in human being food, having a protein make happy of about 13%, which is

comparatively sharp compared to other chief cereals [19] but rather down in protein value for supplying important amino acids [20][21] at what time eaten as the entire grain, wheat is a font of manifold nutrients and nutritional stuff[18].

Rice and wheat crops encompass been grown-up in South Asia (India, Pakistan, Nepal, Bangladesh, and Bhutan) and China for extra than 1000 years. The rice-wheat (RW) cropping system, that is, on the rise these crops in an order in once a year rotation, has been industrial through the foreword of rice in the accepted wheat-growing areas and sub- versa [24][26]. This cropping system is one of the world's leading agricultural construction systems, layer an region of 26 million hectares (Mha) put out over the Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP) in South Asia and China. It financial statement for about one-third of the field of mutually rice and wheat in South Asia and produces pin fare for further than 20% of the humankind population. The RW system at the present comprises about 13 Mha in section in the IGP, of which the Indian capacity of the IGP comprises about 10 Mha [25]. In Pakistan, the RW cropping system occupies about 10% of the complete refined quarter (2.1 Mha), mostly in two zones, the Punjab RW zone, comprising about 1.2 Mha, and the Sindh RW zone, occupying the left behind neighborhood [23].

Conventional tillage consisting of plowing or disking be able to induce fill up and nutrient losses, and in that case soil degradation with muted organic make a difference contented and a fragile real construct [27]. Conventional tillage refers to tillage operations measured model for an aspect position and crop and be apt to put out of sight the crop residues; by and large measured as a heart for influential the expense effectiveness of attrition manage practices.

Sustainable soil management be able to be accomplished through conservation tillage (including no-tillage), far above the ground crop remainder return, and crop rotation [34]. Studies conducted under a broad breadth of climatic conditions, soil types, and crop rotation systems showed that soils under no-tillage and concentrated tillage say notably elevated soil organic problem inside compared with conservatively tilled soils [35].

Conservation tillage is any logic of soil nurturing that foliage the before year's crop excess (such as corn stalks or wheat stubble) on fields before and after planting the after that crop to ease soil attrition and runoff, as fondly as other reimbursement such as carbon confiscation [37]. With this technique, at slightest 30% of the soil ascends is roofed with crop residue/organic excess next planting [36]. Conservation tillage methods incorporate zero-till, strip-till, ridge-till and mulch-till. Zero-tillage is the maximum system of conservation tillage consequential in smallest disturbance to the soil surface. Zero-till involves planting crops speedily into dregs that hasn't been tilled at each and every one [37]. An archetypal zero-tillage automaton is an intense applies that tin scatter seed in slits 2-3cm broad and 4-7cm immersed and besides fix to nourishment in one surgical procedure [35].

Conservation tillage, mainly no tillage, had convinced sound effects on soil properties. No tillage may possibly spread soil organic matter, domain soil moisture, condense erosion, moderate soil fever and promote cumulative stability [29][30][32]. No-tillage with deposit purpose was proved to proliferation the soil microbial unity [33]. In

scores of cases, equally bacteria and fungi were extra copious under no-tillage than conventional tillage [31]. In no-tillage systems, fungi authority was often establish and the remains was primarily musty by the fungal unity [28][33].

2-Soil biological indicators

Soil biological indicators offer insight into the living piece of the soil. Alike to natural and compound indicators, biological indicators get an affiliation to soil functions and tin evaluate soil functions to assess soil quality. These indicators are dynamic soil properties that are enormously responsive to get management, unpretentious disturbances, and compound contaminants. It is reported that an indicator, anyway of its nature, ought to realize the next criteria: 1) be interpretable; 2) correlate to cut a long story short with environment processes; 3) integrate soil physical, chemical, and biological properties and processes; 4) be handy to lots of users; and 5) be easily upset to changes [40][41]. Many biological indicators in the matrix are allied to organic be important and are coupled to nutrient cycling and the biodiversity and productivity functions.

2.1 Earthworms

Eathworms are not native to Europe and Asia but are communicate in these areas. Earthworms depending on their habitation give birth to three groups. Litter-dwellers gulp down place residues, live in litter may not portray in soil free from litter. Limestone soil-dwellers expound in earth having extreme OM. They reach narrow channels and provide for soil and lodge residues mixture. Subterranean soil burrowers get to long, portly channels into arcane soil layers. For their intake they have in stock transplant residues. Cast is a background in soil excreted earlier from earthworms. Despite the fact that surface through worm's digestive system it becomes enriched with nutrients (N, P, K, and Ca). A situate of summit nutrient cycling and microbial commotion is light cast. Earthworms add nutrients to the soil and get well porosity, tilth and ransack development. Earthworms additionally perform character in building soil configuration and cumulative stabilization.

Keeping in view the functions of earthworms, it is clear that earthworms play a part a necessary function in soil. Earthworm moreover acts as an indicator to assess soil health. Disturbance in soil of course have a consequence on earthworms. In conventional tillage near will continuously downgrade records of earthworms in soil compared to conservation tillage. For the reason that in conventional tillage soil gets uneasy powerfully by discrete tillage implement. Selected gear take a breather the soil layers in this fashion they besides shatter the lengthy and narrow channels of earthworms. At what time here is no or less important figure of earthworms near will be no light cast for the microbial doings and nutrient recycling. Consequently it reduces the soil health and additionally shows that conventional tillage brings about this biological indicator in an off-putting manner.

In conservation tillage near is no or single slight disturbance of soil hence at hand will each time be top figure of earthworms in that area. From completely the dissimilar forms of conservation

tillage, zilch tillage has the premier digit of earthworms and extraordinary microbial activity. Soil-arthropod and earthworm densities (number m⁻²) were superior ($P < 0.05$) under no-tillage than conventional tillage practices. Enchytraeid worms were superior in conventional tillage. Two predaceous groups, bring down beetles (Carabidae: Coleoptera) and spiders (Araneae), comprised extra than one-half of every soil macroarthropods collected. Altogether main microarthropod suborders (Oribatids, Prostigmatids, Mesostigmatids, and the demand Collembola) were senior ($P < 0.01$) under no-tillage than conventional tillage. Far above the ground soil-arthropod and earthworm densities under no-tillage systems call to mind a long-drawn-out and beneficial involvement for these soil fauna in crop-residue-decomposition processes [42].

2.2 Soil enzymes

Soil enzymes escalation the outcome at which workshop residues go moldy and liberate plant-available nutrients. They are catalysts—without undergoing everlasting alteration, they affect element reactions to proceed at nearer charge [39]. The substance acted upon by a soil enzyme is called the substrate. Enzymes are specialized to a substrate and contain vigorous sites that join with the substrate to procedure a provisional complex. The enzymatic feedback releases a product, which container be a nutrient controlled in the substrate. Under conservation tillage nearby will be further soil enzymes as in rice-wheat system crop residues gone in the ground and for breakdown in attendance will be high spot microbial doings which looked-for new enzymes.

2.3 Particulate organic matter

Particulate organic affair (POM) fraction, as discussed in this document, comprises completely soil organic be significant (SOM) particles a reduced amount of than 2 mm and larger than 0.053 mm in amount [38]. POM is geographically and chemically functional and is carves up of the labile (easily decomposable) fund of SOM. Studies own given away that POM records for tiny to obese amounts of soil C (20 percent or more) in round about soils of eastern Canada and the United States, depending upon agro-ecosystem and management practices. Additional POM-C was leisurely in at peace soils than in concerned soils, e.g, individuals under conventional tillage [38].

2.4 Potentially mineralizable nitrogen (PMN)

Potentially mineralizable nitrogen (PMN) tin be clear as the little bit of organic nitrogen converted to transplant untaken (or mineral) forms under known factor setting of temperature, moisture, aeration, and time influential levels of PMN be capable of present an estimation of on hand N in the soil. PMN originates essentially from microbial biomass and works and innate tissues—the core starting place of the organic nitrogen pool. It represents the little of nitrogen definitely decomposable by soil germs and is careful an indirect assess of nitrogen availability during the on the rise term (if leisurely during that period) time the possibility for anaerobic N mineralization may be a fine indicator of the makings for soil to hand over N, it does not

essentially consider microbial biomass N levels. It was not compulsory that the ratio of N mineralized to overall organic nitrogen N might operate as a delicate indicator of differences in soil organic matter further PMN had slow in free from anxiety soil than in the concerned soils subsequently advanced PMN was put on in conservation tillage than conventional tillage.

2.5 Soil microbial community

Soil microbial community is also a biological indicator which gives idea about the soil health. If there are more soil microorganisms it represent good soil health by having high microbial activity and nutrient cycling. The microbial communities mainly involve non-pathogenic fungal colonies, pathogenic fungal colonies and nematode population in soil. To check the effect of different tillage practices on soil microbial community we used following five tillage practices as treatments in rice-wheat cropping system. In these treatments only one treatment was the conventional tillage while four others were the types of conservation tillage.

- i. Conventional tillage: 6 disc harrow plunger(CT)
- ii. Conservational tillage I : 1 Mould board plough 4 disc harrow plunger (CMB)
- iii. Conservational tillage II : 1 Standard disc plough 4 disc harrow plunger (CSD)
- iv. No tillage system I : Zero-till ferti-seed drill sowing (ZT)
- v. No tillage system II: Strip-till-drill sowing (ST)

2.5.1 Non- Pathogenic Fungal Colonies

The frequency of occurrence of non-pathogenic fungi viz., *Mucor species*, *Trichoderma species*, *Rhizopus species*, *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus niger* in soil was recorded. *Mucor species* was statistically similar in number under various tillage practices except under ZT, which showed significantly higher number of colonies. The lowest number of *Trichoderma species* was recorded under CT which being at par with CMB was significantly lower than other tillage practices. Significantly lower number of *Rhizopus species* was recorded in CMB and CST compared to other tillage *Aspergillus niger* were recorded to be significantly higher under ZT and ST than CMB and CSD.

2.5.2 Pathogenic Fungal Colonies

In the pathogenic fungi, *Curvularia lunata*, *Alternaria alternata*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Helminthosporium sativum* were studied. The number of *Curvularia lunata* was lowest in CMB and differed from CSD and ST significantly. Zero-till practice had the lowest number of *Alternaria alternata* which was significantly lower that practices. Anyway, the highest number of *A.alternata* was recorded in CT. *Fusarium oxisporum* was found in highest number in CSD followed by CT. ZT showed lowest number of *F.oxisporum*. *Helminthosporium sativum* was also highest in CSD followed by ST and CMB. ZT retained lowest number of *H. sativum*. In general, ZT practices retained lower number of pathogenic fungi while CT contained maximum number of pathogenic fungi (Table 1).

(Table 1) Effect of tillage practices on the average frequency of fungi

Treatments	Frequencies of fungi (number of cfu/g of soil x 10 ⁻²)								
	Average non-pathogenic fungal colonies					Average pathogenic fungal colonies			
	Mucor Spp.	Trichoderma Spp.	Rhizopus Spp.	Aspergillus Flavus	A.niger	Curvularia lunata	Alternaria alternata	Fusarium oxysporum	Helminthosporium sativum
CT	3.41	6.40	4.50	6.25	4.08	4.25	2.50	3.00	3.91
CMB	3.25	6.41	4.25	6.16	4.00	3.91	2.41	2.91	4.41
CSD	3.50	7.91	3.50	6.25	4.83	4.50	2.91	3.41	5.00
ZT	4.41	8.41	6.16	8.25	6.25	4.25	1.75	2.25	2.00
ST	3.25	7.33	3.75	7.25	4.50	4.41	2.41	2.50	4.50
CD	0.42	0.36	0.35	0.40	0.42	0.44	0.32	0.48	0.41

2.5.3 Nematode Population

Mononchus species and *Rhabditis spp* were counted as non phyto-pathogenic nematodes however *Dorylaimuss spp.*, *Hoplolaimus spp.*, *Pratylenchus spp.*, *Tylenchorhynchusspp* was recorded as phyto-pathogenic nematodes. The maximum number of non-pathogenic nematodes was found under ZT followed by ST and CMB practices (Table 3). *Dorylaimuss spp* was equally found in CMB and ZT. *Hoplolaimus spp* were similar CST, CT and ST; *Helicotylenchus spp* were numerically similar in CMB and CSD. All the tillage practices showed similar numbers of *Pratylenchus species* and *Tylenchorynchus spp*. The average of nematode count revealed that ZT contained maximum number of nematodes followed by CMB and ST, the minimum being in CT and CSD.

The previously mentioned observations revealed a higher status of microflora and microfauna under ZT practice which is in accordance with the previous findings [43][44][45][46]. It is reported that the reduction of mechanical soil cultivation intensified soil microbial activities in the upper horizon and reduced soil phytotoxicity to wheat seedlings. Incorporation of residue in soil resulted in 2 to 11fold increase in the micro-flora population.

(Table 2) Nematode population under five different tillage treatments

Treatments	Nematode population (per 50 cc soil)							Mean
	Monococcus spp	Rhabditis spp	Dorylaimus spp	Hoplolaimus spp	Helicotylenchus spp	Pratylenchus spp	Tylenchorhynchus spp	

CT	400.00	400.00	250.00	350.00	450.00	450.00	500.00	399.99
CMB	400.00	450.00	300.00	300.00	600.00	450.00	550.00	435.71
CSD	400.00	400.00	200.00	350.00	600.00	450.00	500.00	414.28
ZT	450.00	500.00	300.00	400.00	700.00	500.00	550.00	485.71
ST	400.00	450.00	250.00	350.00	650.00	400.00	500.00	428.57
MEAN	392.80	435.70	250.00	335.70	607.10	435.70	507.10	

3-Conclusion

This study shows that, the soil under the long term no-till treatment had higher densities of earthworms, more soil enzymes, high particulate organic matter and potentially mineralizable nitrogen. More particulate organic matter carbon (POM-C) was measured in undisturbed soil than in disturbed soil. It means there is more carbon contents in soil under conservation tillage practices because in this practice in rice-wheat system crop residues left in the field which results in high organic matter and also in high organic carbon. High earthworm densities under zero-tillage system suggest an expanded and beneficial involvement for these soil fauna in crop residue decomposition process. In case of soil microbial communities there were higher number of pathogenic fungi, non-pathogenic fungi and nematodes under conservation tillage and especially in zero-tillage. Conservation tillage specifically zero-tillage, had positive effects on soil properties. Soil OM and aggregate stability can be increased by zero-tillage. The microbial community was proved to increase by the application of residues in zero-tillage. In many cases both fungi and bacteria were more abundant under zero-tillage. The residue was mainly decomposed by the fungal community in zero-tillage. Soil microbial shifted with tillage treatment and soil depth. Tillage practice and soil depth were two important factors affecting soil microbial communities. Studies revealed a higher status of soil microflora and microfauna under zero-tillage practice. Results suggested that tillage practices need to be considered when making soil management practices.

References

1. UN Food and Agriculture Organization, Corporate Statistical Database (FAOSTAT). 2020. Archived from the original on May 11, 2017. Retrieved October 11, 2019.
2. Kahlow, M.A., A. Raoof, M. Zubair and W.D. Kemper, 2007. Water use efficiency and economic feasibility of growing rice and wheat with sprinkler irrigation in the Indus Basin of Pakistan. *Agricultural Water Management*, 87(3):292-298.
3. Nguyen, T.D., E.M. Han, M.S. Seo, S.R. Kim, M.Y. Yun, D.M. Lee and G.H. Lee, 2008. A multi-residue method for the determination of 203 pesticides in rice paddies using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 619(1):67-74.
4. "The rice plant and how it grows". International Rice Research Institute. Archived from the original on January 6, 2009.

5. IRRI rice knowledge bank Archived May 22, 2004, at the Wayback Machine. Knowledgebank.irri.org. Retrieved on April 20, 2013.
6. More rice with less water Archived December 26, 2011, at the Wayback Machine cornell.edu. Retrieved on May 13, 2012.
7. Plants capable of surviving flooding Archived March 31, 2014, at the Wayback Machine. Uu.nl. Retrieved on May 13, 2012.
8. Rice is life” Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. 2004. Archived (PDF) from the original on November 10, 2011. Retrieved November 21, 2011.
9. Smith, Bruce D. (1998) The Emergence of Agriculture. Scientific American Library, A Division of HPHLP, New York.
10. Shewry, Peter R (2009), "Wheat", Journal of Experimental Botany, 60 (6): 1537–53, doi:10.1093/jxb/erp058, PMID 19386614
11. James D. Mauseth (2014). Botany. Jones & Bartlett Publishers. p. 223. ISBN 978-1-4496-4884-8. "Perhaps the simplest of fruits are those of grasses (all cereals such as corn and wheat)...These fruits are caryopses."
12. Belderok, Robert 'Bob'; Mesdag, Hans; Donner, Dingena A (2000), Bread- Making Quality of Wheat, Springer, p. 3, ISBN 978-0-7923-6383-5.
13. "Crops/World Total/Wheat/Area Harvested/2014 (pick list)". United Nations, Food and Agriculture Organization, Statistics Division (FAOSTAT). 2014. Archived from the original on 6 September 2015. Retrieved 8 December 2016.
14. Curtis; Rajaraman; MacPherson (2002). "Bread Wheat". Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
15. "World food situation: FAO cereal supply and demand brief". Rome, Italy: United Nations, Food and Agriculture Organization. 10 March 2019. Retrieved 14 December 2016.
16. "Crops/World Total/Wheat/Production Quantity/2014 (pick list)". United Nations, Food and Agriculture Organization, Statistics Division (FAOSTAT). 2014. Archived from the original on 6 September 2015.
17. Godfray, H.C.; Beddington, J. R.; Crute, I. R.; Haddad, L; Lawrence, D; Muir, J. F.; Pretty, J; Robinson, S; Thomas, S. M.; Toulmin, C (2010). "Food security: The challenge of feeding 9 billion people". Science 327 (5967): 812–8. Bibcode:2010
18. Shewry PR, Hey SJ (2015). "Review: The contribution of wheat to human diet and health". Food and Energy Security. 4 (3): 178–202.
19. European Community, Community Research and Development Information Service (CORDIS) (24 February 2016). "Genetic markers signal increased crop productivity potential".
20. "Nutritional quality of cereals". Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
21. Dietary protein quality evaluation in human nutrition (PDF). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2013.

22. Hazell, P., 2010. The green revolution D. Spielman, R. Pandya-Lorch (Eds.), Millions Fed: Proven Successes in Agricultural Development, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC
23. Aslam, M. (1998a). Improved Water Management Practices for the Rice-Wheat Cropping Systems in Sindh Province, PAKISTAN. Report No. R-70, 1a. Lahore, Pakistan: International Irrigation Management Ki Institute.
24. Paroda, R. S., Woodhead, T., and Singh, R. B. (1994). Sustainability of Rice-Wheat Production Systems in Asia. RAPA Publication 1994/11. Bangkok: FAO.
25. Timsina, J., and Connor, D. J. (2001). Productivity and management of rice-wheat cropping systems: Issues and challenges. *Field Crop Res.* 69, 93e132.
26. Tran, D. V., and Marathe, J. P. (1994). Major Issues in Asian Rice-Wheat Production Systems: Sustainability of Rice-Wheat Production Systems in Asia. RAPA Publication 1994/11. Bangkok: FAO.
27. Wang X.B., Cai D.X., Hoogmoed W.B., Oenema O., Perdok U.D. (2007): Developments in conservation tillage in rainfed regions of North China. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 93: 239–250.
28. Spedding T.A., Hamel C., Mehuys G.R., Madramootoo C.A. (2004): Soil microbial dynamics in maize-growing soil under different tillage and residue management systems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 36: 499–512.
29. Ceja-Navarro J.A., Rivera-Orduna F.N., Patino-Zuniga L., Vila-Sanjurjo A., Crossa J., Govaerts B., Dendooven L. (2010): Phylogenetic and multivariate analyses to determine the effects of different tillage and residue management practices on soil Bacterial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 76: 3685–3691.
30. Peigné J., Ball B.C., Roger-Estrade J., David C. (2007): Is conservation tillage suitable for organic farming? A review. *Soil Use and Management*, 23: 129–144
31. Helgason B.L., Walley F.L., Germida J.J. (2009): Fungal and bacterial abundance in long-term no-till and intensive-till soils of the northern great plains. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 73: 120–127.
32. Alguacil M.M., Lumini E., Roldán A., Salinas-García J.R., Bonfante P., Bianciotto V. (2008): The impact of tillage practices on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity in subtropical crops. *Ecological Applications*, 18: 527–536.
33. KGovaerts B., Mezzalama M., Unno Y., Sayre K.D., Luna-Guido M., Vanherck K., Dendooven L., Deckers J. (2007): Influence of tillage, residue management, and crop rotation on soil microbial biomass and catabolic diversity. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 37: 18–30
34. P. R. Hobbs, K. Sayre, and R. Gupta, “The role of conservation agriculture in sustainable agriculture,” *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, vol. 363, no. 1491, pp. 543–555, 2008.
35. CIMMYT (2010): Resource conserving technologies in South Asia: Frequently asked question. Jat ML, Singh RG, Sidhu HS, Singh UP, Malik RK, Kamboj BR, Jat RK, Singh V, Hussain I, Mazid MA, Sherchan DP, Khan A, Singh VP, Patil SG, Gupta R. pp 1-32.

36. Dinnes D.L. (2004): Assessment of practices to reduce Nitrogen and potassium non-point source pollution of Iowa's surface waters, Iowa Dept. of National resources, Des Moines, IA.
37. MDA (2011): Conservation Practices, Minnesota Conservation Funding Guide, Minnesota Department of Agriculture
38. Cambardella, C.A., and E.T. Elliot. 1992. Particulate soil organic matter changes across a grassland cultivation sequence. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 56:777-83.
39. Tabatabai, M.A. 1994. Soil enzymes. In R.W. Weaver et al. (eds.) *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Microbiological and Biochemical Properties*, pp. 775-833.
40. Doran, J.W., and M. Safley. 1997. Defining and assessing soil health and sustainable productivity. In C.E.
41. Pankhurst, B.M. Doube, and V.V.S.R. Gupta (eds.) *Biological Indicators of Soil Health*, pp. 1-28. CAB International.
42. Comparison of soil arthropods and earthworms from conventional and no-tillage agroecosystems, "Soil and Tillage Research", Volume 5, Issue 4, August 1985, Pages 351-360
43. Busari Mutiu Abolanle, Kukalb Surinder Singh, Kaur Amanpreet, Bhatt Rajan, Ashura Ally (2015) Conservation tillage impacts on soil, crop and the environment. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research* 3 (2): 119–129.
44. Govaerts Bram, Mezzalama Monica, Unno Yusuke, Sayre Ken D, Marco Luna-Guido, Vanherck Katrien, DendoovenLuc, DeckersJozef (2007) Influence of tillage, residue management, and crop rotation on soil microbial biomass and catabolic diversity. *Applied soil ecology* 37: 18 30.
45. Kruglov Yu, Pertserva AN, SasninNA and Va'Skovskaya GS (1979) Changes in microbial characteristics in soil with various fallow maintenance methods. *Soviet Soil Science* 11(4):415-420.
46. Mathew Reji P, FengYucheng, Githinji Leonard, Ankumah Ramble, and Balkcom Kipling S. (2012). Impact of No-Tillage and Conventional Tillage Systems on Soil Microbial Communities. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*.